

## Original article

# Mothers pre-pregnancy body mass index, weight alterations and neonatal birth weight

Mohammad Khademloo<sup>1</sup>, Hasan Karami<sup>2</sup>, Mandana Yasari<sup>1</sup>

1-Department of Community Medicine, Mazandaran University of Medical Sciences, Sari, Iran

2. Department of Paediatrics, Mazandaran University of Medical Sciences, Sari, IR Iran

Corresponding author: Dr Hasan Karami

Email: khademmazi@gmail.com

### Abstract

**Background:** In order to clarify the association between maternal body mass index and gestational weight gain with maternal and fetal complications.

**Methods:** In this descriptive cross-sectional investigation, pregnant women with 18-30 years of age referring to health care centers in sari, Mazandaran, Iran were enrolled

**Results:** In this study 400 pregnant women were examined. The mean  $\pm$  SD age of study population was  $27.8 \pm 4.8$  years. 125 participants (31.3%) were presented with low IOM and 77 patients (19.3 %) were high IOM.

The mean weight of fetal birth weight was  $3.2 \pm 0.4$  kg. Weights of 377 newborns were between 2500 to 4000 gr. 8 cases (2%) had low birth weight and 15 cases (3.8%) were over than 4000 gr. The mean  $\pm$  SD of newborns length was  $49.6 \pm 5.6$  cm. The mean  $\pm$  SD of head circumflex of newborns was  $34.4 \pm 3.6$  cm. There was no significant association between mothers BMI and infant head circumflex, height and weight.

There was no significant correlation between macrosomia and mothers IOM ( $p=0.07$ ).

There was no significant association between infants' weight and mothers IOM ( $p=0.3$ ).

There was significant correlation between BMI and IOM ( $p=0.000$ ). Preterm delivery was noted in 6 women (6.5%) with BMI more than 29 and in 1 participant (1.2%) with BMI of 26.1 to 29. There was significant association between BMI and preterm birth ( $p=0.001$ ). Gestational diabetes mellitus was noted in 6 women with BMI more than 29 ( $p=0.000$ ). Gestational diabetes mellitus was reported in 6 women with normal IOM ( $p=0.04$ ). Preeclampsia was noted in 3 women with BMI of 26.1 to 29 ( $p=0.04$ ).

**Conclusion:** Pregravid overweight or gaining weight will increase the risk of overweight birth.

**Keywords:** *body mass index, weight, birth weight*

### Introduction

The incidence of obesity has risen over the past several decades and in spite of advancements in modern medicine, it remains a risk factor for morbidity and mortality in western countries [1–3]. The increasing prevalence of obesity worldwide has prompted the World Health Organization (WHO) to designate obesity as one of the most important global health threats (4). The epidemic is especially pronounced in young people, including women of reproductive age. (5)

Prepregnancy obesity is an independent risk factor for maternal and neonatal morbidity and mortality. (5,6) The origin of this epidemic is unhealthy lifestyle – high energy and high-fat diet, physical inactivity and smoking.

Approximately 47% of United States women are currently overweight (7). Obesity among American pregnant women ranges from 18.5% to 38.3%, depending on the study-cohort and cut-off point

used (8). The prevalence of obesity in pregnant women ranges from 1.8% to 25.3%, using WHO criteria [body mass index (BMI)  $>30$  kg/m<sup>2</sup>].

The disadvantages of maternal obesity start even before conception. There is a higher prevalence of polycystic ovary syndrome among obese women. Deep venous thrombosis and pulmonary embolism are the leading causes of maternal mortality in the UK (9).

Optimal nutrition and weight gain in pregnancy are important for securing, protecting and promoting the health of women and newborns (10,11). Maternal pre-pregnancy nutritional status and body mass index (BMI) and pregnancy weight gain also affect the outcome of pregnancy, survival of the newborn, health status later in life, normal adult weight and no obesity (10,12,13).

During the critical time of pregnancy, the inappropriate body weight, either excessive or

insufficient, could be related to important problems for maternal and neonatal outcomes, they are included fetal death, preeclampsia, gestational diabetes, macrosomia, multifetal pregnancy caesarean section, malpresentation, obstetric bleeding, postpartum thrombophlebitis, urinary tract infection, dysfunctional labor, shoulder dystocia and fetal asphyxia at birth (14-17). GWG has also been thoroughly studied as a predictor of adverse pregnancy outcomes, mainly because of the belief that it is potentially modifiable after conception. Low gain is associated with anemia, premature rupture of membranes (PROM), low apgar score, low birth weight (LBW), small-for-gestational age (SGA) infant, preterm delivery and increase of perinatal mortality(16, 18,19,20,21), whereas high gain is associated with greater risks of macrosomia (19,20,22), cesarean section (23,24), and excess postpartum weight retention (25,26,27).

Consequently, various recommendations have been made about weight gain during pregnancy [1,5]. In 1990, the Institute of Medicine (IOM) published gestational weight gain guidelines to help reduce adverse pregnancy outcomes [28]. For women with a BMI < 19.8 kg/m<sup>2</sup>, a weight gain of 28–40 lb was recommended; with a BMI 19.8–24.9 kg/m<sup>2</sup>, a weight gain of 25–35 lb; with a BMI 25.0–29.9 kg/m<sup>2</sup>, a weight gain of 15–25 lb; and with a BMI > 29.9 kg/m<sup>2</sup>, a weight gain of at least 15 lb with no upper limit (1,3). This report confirmed a strong association between weight gain during pregnancy and infant size [1, 5].

However, weight gain in most pregnant women is not within the range recommended by IOM, and is considered to be too low or too high compared with current standards [1,5]. Furthermore, information on patterns of weight gain in pregnant women from developing countries is scarce [1,5].

Maternal and neonatal complications associated with BMI and GWG (gestational weight gain) are of public health importance because they add to the disease burden in women and children and increase medical costs. However, understanding these associations is also complex, because both BMI and GWG are closely linked to lifestyle factors, diseases, and genetic traits that are also correlated with the outcome of pregnancy. In addition, pregnancy outcomes (eg, birth weight) may be in the causal pathway between GWG and other pregnancy outcomes (eg, cesarean delivery), which also complicates the interpretation of these relations.

This study was performed in order to determine the correlation between maternal body mass index and gestational weight gain with maternal and fetal complications in patients receiving prenatal care.

## Method

In this descriptive cross-sectional study, pregnant women in their first trimester with 18-30 years of age referring to health care centers in sari, Mazandaran, Iran were enrolled. Exclusion criteria included irregular prenatal care, multi-fetal pregnancy and systemic diseases which affect the pregnancy including hypertension, diabetes mellitus and history of preeclampsia. After consent confirm of volunteer patients 400 women were enrolled in the study. In the initial information was collected on maternal age and demographic status, education, parity, gestational weight gain, maternal height and past history of premature delivery were recorded.

The BMI classification used for this study has previously been used to examine the relationship between body fat and pregnancy outcomes. The BMI has become the standard measure for clinicians to use in classifying patients as overweight or obese because it is highly correlated with percentage of body fat and because morbidity and mortality increases with increasing BMI. Based on BMI values participants were divided to four groups including low weight (<19.8 kg) , normal weight (19.8-26 kg) ,over weight (26.1-29) and fatty (26.1-29).

These four groups were matched based on age, number of previous pregnancies and education level. Then participants were weighted every time they referred to health centers.

The SPSS software program was used for statistical analysis and  $P < 0.05$  was considered as statistically significant. The T Test and one way ANOVA were used to compare the means and the association between the two qualitative variables was assessed by Chi-Square test. This study was approved and supervised by the Ethics Committee of Mazandaran University of Medical Sciences.

## Results

In this descriptive cross-sectional study, pregnant women in their first trimester with 18-30 years of age referring to health care centers in sari, Mazandaran, Iran were enrolled. Exclusion criteria included irregular prenatal care, multi-fetal pregnancy and systemic diseases which affect the pregnancy including hypertension, diabetes mellitus and history of preeclampsia. After consent confirm of volunteer patients 400 women were enrolled in the study. In the initial information was collected on maternal age and demographic status, education, parity, gestational weight gain, maternal height and past history of premature delivery were recorded.

The BMI classification used for this study has previously been used to examine the relationship between body fat and pregnancy outcomes. The

BMI has become the standard measure for clinicians to use in classifying patients as overweight or obese because it is highly correlated with percentage of body fat and because morbidity and mortality increases with increasing BMI. Based on BMI values participants were divided to four groups including low weight (<19.8 kg), normal weight (19.8-26 kg), overweight (26.1-29) and fatty (26.1-29).

These four groups were matched based on age, number of previous pregnancies and education level. Then participants were weighted every time they referred to health centers.

The SPSS software program was used for statistical analysis and  $P < 0.05$  was considered as statistically significant. The T Test and one way ANOVA were used to compare the means and the association between the two qualitative variables was assessed by Chi-Square test. This study was approved and supervised by the Ethics Committee of Mazandaran University of Medical Sciences.

## Results

In this study 400 pregnant women were enrolled in the study. The mean  $\pm$  SD age of study population was  $27.8 \pm 4.8$  years. 259 women (64.8 %) required cesarean delivery.

The mean  $\pm$  SD weight (before pregnancy) and length of mothers were  $64 \pm 12.7$  kg and  $158.4 \pm 5.9$  cm, respectively. Total gestational weight gain was  $11.3 \pm 4$  kg.

108 (27 %) participants had unplanned pregnancy. Preterm birth was observed in 7 cases (1.8%) and post term birth was recorded in 2 cases (0.5 %).

42(10.5%) participants were underweight, 183(45.8%) were of normal weight, 83 (20.8%) were overweight and 92 (23%) were obese (table 1).

Table 1. Baseline characteristic of study population based on BMI

| BMI     | number     |
|---------|------------|
| 19/8>   | 42(%10/5)  |
| 26-19/8 | 183(%45/8) |
| 29-26/1 | 83(%20/8)  |
| 29<     | 92(%23)    |

Table2. The number of cases according to IOM

| IOM    | number     |
|--------|------------|
| low    | 125(31.35) |
| normal | 198(49.5%) |
| high   | 77(19.3%)  |

125 participants (31.3%) were presented with low IOM and 77 patients (19.3 %) were high IOM table 2.

The mean weight of Neonatal birth weight was  $3.2 \pm 0.4$  kg. Weights of 377 newborns were between 2500 to 4000 gr. 8 newborns (2%) had low birth weight and 15 cases (3.8%) were over than 4000 gr.

The mean  $\pm$  SD of newborns length was  $49.6 \pm 5.6$  cm. The mean  $\pm$  SD of head circumflex of newborns was  $34.4 \pm 3.6$  cm.

The association of newborns height, weight and Head circumflex are summarized in table 3. There was no significant correlation between mothers BMI and infant head circumflex, height and weight.

Table 3.correlton of infants' characteristics and mothers BMI

| Head circumflex |    | height         |     | weight        |     | Infant feature s BMI |
|-----------------|----|----------------|-----|---------------|-----|----------------------|
| SD $\pm$ M      | no | SD $\pm$ M     | no  | SD $\pm$ M    | no  |                      |
| 33/1 $\pm$ 7/5  | 4  | 49/6 $\pm$ 7   | 4   | 3/2 $\pm$ 0/4 | 42  | 19/8>                |
| 34/4 $\pm$ 3/8  | 18 | 49/4 $\pm$ 5/1 | 183 | 3/2 $\pm$ 0/4 | 183 | 26-19/8              |
| 34/6 $\pm$ 1/3  | 83 | 49/7 $\pm$ 5   | 83  | 3/2 $\pm$ 0/3 | 83  | 29-26/1              |
| 34/9 $\pm$ 1/3  | 92 | 49/9 $\pm$ 6/2 | 92  | 3/3 $\pm$ 0/3 | 92  | 29<                  |
| 0/07            |    | 0/8            |     | 0/2           |     | P-value              |

Macrosomia in infants were observed in two mothers (1.6 %) with low IOM, 7 (3.5%) with normal IOM and 6 (7.8%) with high IOM. There was no significant association between macrosomia and mothers IOM ( $p=0.07$ ).

Table 4. The correlation between BMI and IOM

| P-value | IOM        |            |            |         | BMI |
|---------|------------|------------|------------|---------|-----|
|         | high       | nl         | low        |         |     |
| /0000   | 3(%7/1)    | (%26/2) 11 | (%66/7) 28 | 19/8>   |     |
|         | (%18/6) 34 | (%53/6) 98 | (%27/9) 51 | 26-19/8 |     |
|         | (%14/5) 12 | (%62/7) 52 | (%22/9) 19 | 29-26/1 |     |
|         | (%30/4) 28 | (%40/2) 37 | (%29/3) 27 | 29<     |     |

LBW infants were noted in 3 mothers (2.4 %) with low IOM, 5 (2.5%) with normal IOM. there was no

significant association between infants' weight and mothers IOM ( $p=0.3$ ).

There was significant correlation between BMI and IOM ( $p=0.000$ ) table 4.

Women with BMI  $< 19.8$  kg/m<sup>2</sup> had the lowest rate of cesarean section (32 cases), and the most cesarean interventions were performed among subjects categorized as a group with 19.8-26 kg/m<sup>2</sup>. Table 5

Table 5. The correlation of BMI and type of delivery.

| P-value | Type of delivery |           |         | BMI |
|---------|------------------|-----------|---------|-----|
|         | cesarean         | nl        |         |     |
| /0870   | 32(%67/2)        | 10(%26/8) | 19/8>   |     |
|         | 117(%63/9)       | 66(%36/1) | 26-19/8 |     |
|         | 46(%55/4)        | 37(%44/6) | 29-26/1 |     |
|         | 64(%69/6)        | 28(%30/4) | 29<     |     |

Preterm delivery was noted in 6 women (6.5%) with BMI more than 29 and in 1 participant (1.2%) with BMI of 26.1 to 29. There was significant association between BMI and preterm birth ( $p=0.001$ ).

Preterm delivery was recorded in 1 woman with low IOM, 5 women with normal IOM and 1 participant with high IOM ( $p=0.48$ ).

Gestational diabetes mellitus was noted in 6 women with BMI more than 29 ( $p=0.000$ ). Gestational diabetes mellitus was reported in 6 women with normal IOM ( $p=0.04$ ).

Preeclampsia was noted in 3 women with BMI of 26.1 to 29 ( $p=0.04$ ). Preeclampsia was reported in 1 woman with low, 1 in normal and 1 in high level of IOM ( $p=0.6$ ).

## Discussion

The relationship between pre-pregnancy BMI and fetal growth is biologically plausible, although the direct pathway by which pre-pregnancy BMI influences infant birth weight is not known. In a review of the relationship between maternal BMI, energy intake and pregnancy outcomes, Neggers and Goldenberg [29] found that prepregnancy weight consistently predicted most neonatal measurements compared to other maternal factors [29]. The authors hypothesized a complex interaction of genetics, maternal nutrition, gestational weight gain and other factors for this relationship, likely mediated through maternal nutritional pathway [29]. This notion of complex interaction among multiple maternal factors is

supported by work performed by other investigators. Kramer et al. [30] attributed temporal trends of increasing infant birth weight and preterm birth to a combination of factors, including increased maternal adiposity, reduced cigarette smoking and changes in socio-demographic factors.

Birth weight plays an important role in infant mortality and morbidity, childhood development, and adult health [31]. Thame et al. [32] documented relationships between birth weight, childhood growth, and blood pressure, suggesting early programming of blood pressure in pregnancy [32]. Reduced birth weight is related to the risk of type-2 diabetes and ischemic heart disease in later life [33]. In addition, LBW results in increased healthcare expenditures due to extended hospital stays for preterm delivery. At the other end of the birth weight spectrum, macrosomia increases the risk of c-section delivery, delivery complications (i.e. shoulder dystocia), and subsequent childhood obesity [33-35].

During late pregnancy, hypertension, gestational diabetes, and preeclampsia were all considerably more common in overweight and obese women than in normal-weight women. The additional risk contributed by GWG was modest, except that preeclampsia was strongly associated with higher weight gains and gestational diabetes was strongly associated with low weight gains. We found that prepregnancy BMI was by far the strongest predictor of the outcomes under study. The contribution of GWG was modest except for infant size at birth. We found, as have others (36-38), that GWG was associated with complications during late pregnancy, such as preeclampsia and gestational diabetes. However, any causal interpretation of the association between total weight gain and these complications is limited. Stamnes Koepp UM (39) et al revealed that Offspring birth weight increased with both increasing maternal pre-pregnant BMI and maternal weight gain during pregnancy in all categories of maternal pre-pregnancy BMI. But in our study there was no significant correlation. Stuebe AM et al (40) showed that among 1250 participants with untreated mild gestational glucose intolerance, pregravid BMI was correlated with increased gestational birthweight, and neonatal fat mass. In another study in china Liu Y et al (41) showed that Being overweight or obese and having a high weight gain besides being underweight and having a low weight gain, were correlated with increased risks for adverse pregnancy outcomes.

In conclusion our study showed that there was association between prepregnancy overweight risks of overweight birth.

## References

- 1- Wilding J (1997) Science, medicine, and the future: obesity treatment. *BMJ* 315:997–1000
- 2 - Jung RT (1997) Obesity as a disease. *Br Med Bull* 53:307–321
- 3 - Allison DB, Saunders SE (2000) Obesity in North America: an overview. *Med Clin North Am* 84:305–332
4. Diet nutrition and the preventior diseases: report of a joint WHO/FAO expert consultation, Geneva, 2002 (WHO technical report series; 916).
- 5- Complications of Obesity Maternal obesity: pregnancy complications, gestational weight gain and nutrition I. Guelinckx1, R. Devlieger2, K. Beckers2 and G. Vansant1 obesity reviews (2008) 9, 140–150
- 6- The Effect of Gestational Weight Gain by Body Mass Index on Maternal and Neonatal Outcomes Crane JMS, White J,Burrage L, Hutchens D J *ObstetGynaecol Can* 2009;31(1):28–35
- 7- Matern Child Health J Does Gestational Weight Gain Affect the Risk of Adverse Maternal and Infant Outcomes in Overweight Women? Aisha Langford , Corinne Joshu , Jen Jen Chang ,Thomas Myles , Terry Leet
- 8- Matern Child Health J (2008) 12:557–567 Pre-pregnancy Body Mass Index, Gestational Weight Gain, and Other Maternal Characteristics in Relation to Infant Birth Weight Frederick IO, Williams MA, Sales AE, Martin Dp, Killien M
- 9- Ramsay JE, Greer I, Sattar NABC.of obesity. Obesity andreproduction. *BMJ* 2006; 333: 1159–1162.
- 10-The effect of pre-pregnancy body mass index and gestational weight gain on pregnancy outcomes in urban care settings in Urmia-Iran Zahra Yekta\*1, Haleh Ayatollahi†2, Reza Porali†1,3 and AzadehFarzin† BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth2006, 6:15
- 11-Prepregnancy Body Mass Index and Gestational Weight Gain and Their Association with Some Pregnancy Outcomes Z Yazdanpanahi1, S Forouhari1\*, ME Parsanezhad2 *IRCMJ* 2008; 10(4):326-331
- 12.Nohr EA, Vaeth M, Baker JL, Sørensen TIA, Olsen J, Rasmussen KM. Combined associations of prepregnancy body mass index and gestational weight gain with the outcome of pregnancy. *Am J ClinNutr* 2008;87:1750 –9.
- 13-L. Driul · G. Cacciaguerra · A. Citossi · M. Della Martina · L. Peressini · D. Marcheson Prepregnancy body mass index and adverse pregnancy outcomes *ArchGynecolObstet* (2008) 278:23–26
- 14-Baeten JM, Bukusi EA, Lambe M. Pregnancy complications and outcomes among overweight and obese nulliparous women. *Am J Public Health* 2001;91:436–40.
- 15-Cedergren MI. Maternal morbid obesity and the risk of adverse pregnancy outcome. *ObstetGynecol* 2004;103:219 –24.
- 16-Cnattingius S, Bergstrom R, Lipworth L, Kramer MS. Prepregnancy weight and the risk of adverse pregnancy outcomes. *N Engl J Med* 1998;338:147–52.
- 17-Sebire NJ, Jolly M, Harris JP, et al. Maternal obesity and pregnancy outcome: a study of 287,213 pregnancies in London. *Int J ObesRelat MetabDisord* 2001;25:1175– 82.
- 18-Cogswell ME, Serdula MK, Hungerford DW, Yip R. Gestational weight gain among average-weight and overweight women—what is excessive? *Am J ObstetGynecol* 1995;172:705–12.
- 19-Stotland NE, Cheng YW, Hopkins LM, Caughey AB. Gestational weight gain and adverse neonatal outcome among term infants. *Obstet Gynecol* 2006;108:635– 43.
- 20- Nohr EA, Bech BH, Vaeth M, Rasmussen KM, Henriksen TB, Olsen J. Obesity, gestational weight gain and preterm birth: a study within the Danish National Birth Cohort.*PaediatrPerinatEpidemiol* 2007;21:5– 14.
- 21- Stotland NE, Caughey AB, Lahiff M, Abrams B. Weight gain and spontaneous preterm birth: the role of race or ethnicity and previous preterm birth. *ObstetGynecol* 2006;108:1448 –55.
- 22- Zhou W, Olsen J. Gestational weight gain as a predictor of birth and placenta weight according to pre-pregnancy body mass index. *Acta ObstetGynecolScand* 1997;76:300 –7.
- 23-Witter FR, Caulfield LE, Stoltzfus RJ. Influence of maternal anthropometric status and birth weight on the risk of cesarean delivery. *Obstet Gynecol* 1995;85:947–51.

- 24-Young TK, Woodmansee B. Factors that are associated with caesarean delivery in a large private practice: the importance of prepregnancy body mass index and weight gain. *Am J ObstetGynecol* 2002;187:312– 8.
- 25-Muscati SK, Gray-Donald K, Koski KG. Timing of weight gain during pregnancy: promoting fetal growth and minimizing maternal weight retention. *Int J ObesRelatMetabDisord* 1996;20:526 –32.
- 26-Ohlin A, Rossner S. Maternal body weight development after pregnancy. *Int J Obes* 1990;14:159 –73.
- 27-Olson CM, Strawderman MS, Hinton PS, Pearson TA. Gestational weight gain and postpartum behaviors associated with weight change from early pregnancy to 1 y postpartum. *Int J ObesRelatMetabDisord* 2003;27:117–27.
- 28-Institute of Medicine (1990). Nutrition during pregnancy: Part 1: Weight gain, Part 2: Nutrient supplements. Washington, DC: National Academy Press, 468 pp.
- 29- Neggers, Y., & Goldenberg, R. L. (2003). Some thoughts on body mass index, micronutrient intakes and pregnancy outcome. *Journal of Nutrition*, 133, 1737S–1740S.
- 30- Kramer, M. S., Morin, I., Yang, H., Platt, R. W., Usher, R., McNamara, H., Joseph, K. S., & Wen, S. W. (2002). Why are babies getting bigger? Temporal trends in fetal growth and its determinants. *Journal of Pediatrics*, 141, 538–542.
- 31- Godfrey, K. M., & Barker, D. J. (2000). Fetal nutrition and adult disease. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, 71, 1344S–1352S.
- 32-Thame, M., Osmond, C., Wilks, R. J., Bennett, F. I., McFarlane- Anderson, N., & Forrester, T. E. (2000). Blood pressure is related to placental volume and birth weight. *Hypertension*, 35, 662–667.
- 33-Martyn, C. N., Barker, D. J., Jespersen, S., Greenwald, S., Osmond, C., & Berry, C. (1995). Growth in utero, adult blood pressure, and arterial compliance. *British Heart Journal*, 73(2), 116–121.
- 34-Thorsdottir, I., Torfadottir, J. E., Birgisdottir, B. E., & Geirsson, R. T. (2002). Weight gain in women of normal weight before pregnancy: Complications in pregnancy or delivery and birth outcome. *Obstetrics and Gynecology*, 99, 799–806.
- 35-Bergmann, R. L., Richter, R., Bergmann, K. E., Plagemann, A., Brauer, M., & Dudenhausen, J. W. (2003). Secular trends in neonatal macrosomia in Berlin: Influences of potential determinants. *Pediatrics and Perinatal Epidemiology*, 17, 244–249.
- 36-Cedergren M. Effects of gestational weight gain and body mass index on obstetric outcome in Sweden. *Int J GynaecolObstet* 2006;93:269 –74.
- 37-Devader SR, Neeley HL, Myles TD, Leet TL. Evaluation of gestational weight gain guidelines for women with normal prepregnancy body mass index. *ObstetGynecol* 2007;110:745–51.
- 38-Nohr Ellen Aagaard. Obesity in pregnancy. Epidemiological studies based on the Danish National Birth Cohort. Doctoral dissertation. University of Aarhus, Aarhus, Denmark, 2005.
- 39.Stamnes Koepp UM, Frost Andersen L, Dahl-Joergensen K, Stigum H, Nass O, Nystad W. Maternal pre-pregnant body mass index, maternal weight change and offspring birthweight. *Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand*. 2012 Feb;91(2):243-9. doi: 10.1111/j.1600-0412.2011.01321.x. Epub 2012 Jan 12.
- 40-Stuebe AM, Landon MB, Lai Y, Spong CY, Carpenter MW, Ramin SM, Casey B, Wapner RJ, Varner MW, Rouse DJ, Sciscione A, Catalano P, Harper M, Saade G, Sorokin Y, Peaceman AM, Tolosa JE. Maternal BMI, glucose tolerance, and adverse pregnancy outcomes. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2012 Jul;207(1):62.e1-7. Epub 2012 May 2.
- 41-Liu Y, Dai W, Dai X, Li Z. Prepregnancy body mass index and gestational weight gain with the outcome of pregnancy: a 13-year study of 292,568 cases in China. *Arch Gynecol Obstet*. 2012 Oct; 286(4):905-11. Epub 2012 Jun 14.