Review

A Systematic Review Of Non-Pharmacological/Complementary Methods Of Chemotherapy-Induced Nausea And Vomiting Prevention In Breast Cancer Patients

Hossein Ali Rostami Pourfard¹, Marzieh Haghbeen², Lohrasb Taheri³, Samaneh Abiri⁴

Mojtaba Ghaedi 5*

1. Assistant Professor of Internal Medicine, Jahrom University of Medical Sciences, Jahrom, Iran.

2. Breast diseases fellowship, Jahrom University of Medical Sciences, Jahrom, Iran.

3. Department of Surgery, Jahrom University of Medical Sciences, Jahrom, Iran.

4. Research Center for Social Determinants of Health, Jahrom University of Medical Sciences, Jahrom, Iran

5. Department of Plastic Surgery, Jahrom University of Medical Sciences, Jahrom, Iran.

*Corresponding Author: Mojtaba Ghaedi, Department of Plastic Surgery, Jahrom University of Medical Sciences, Jahrom, Iran. Email: <u>ghamojtaba247@gmail.com</u>. 0000-0002-0761-5898 Abstract:

Background: Nausea and vomiting caused by chemotherapy are one of the treatment problems in patients with different types of cancer.

Methods: In this systematic review, we systematically searched for different types of nonpharmacological treatments for nausea and vomiting in breast cancer patients. Based on Prisma guidelines, in MEDLINE databases, Cochrane, Central Register of Controlled Trials, EMBASE, Scopus, Web of Science, and SID, and with the help of the Google scholar search engine, clinical trials reporting the effect of non-pharmacological interventions on nausea and vomiting in women undergoing chemotherapy for breast cancer were sought. Collected data were used qualitatively for evidence synthesis and chance of bias was assessed based on the Cochrane scale.

Result: Finally, 15 studies were selected. Five studies investigated methods based on herbal medicine. Acupressure in different forms was investigated in 6 reports. Three studies with psychophysical exercises and yoga were included in the present review. A total of 952 participants were analyzed in all the reviewed studies in this review. It seems that there is not enough evidence to use different types of oral or inhaled medicinal forms of herbs like ginger or mint to reduce nausea and vomiting in breast cancer patients undergoing chemotherapy. Sumac and black cumin can be used as the subject of future research in this regard.

Conclusion :The variety of available methods in acupressure limits the decision for clinical use of these methods. The number of articles about each of the ear acupressure methods, wristbands-aided acupressure, massage, yoga, and psychophysical methods is not enough to check the superiority of each of these methods.

Keywords: Nausea and Vomiting, Chemotherapy, Breast Cancer, Complementary Medicine Submitted: 4 November 2022, Revised: 26 November 2022, Accepted: 11 December 2022

Introduction

Nausea and vomiting caused by chemotherapy are one of the treatment problems in patients with different types of cancer (1). However, chemotherapy can save the lives of many patients, especially those with breast cancer, the continuation of chemotherapy and treatment and the patient's compliance with the treatment necessitate the reduction of nausea and vomiting caused by chemotherapy drugs (2). Drugs commonly used to control chemotherapy-induced nausea/vomiting include serotonin, neurokinin 1 (NK-1) receptor antagonists, corticosteroids, and metoclopramide (3). There is evidence that shows that after prevention with these antiemetic drugs, the effects of acute and delayed nausea and vomiting can be prevented in up to 50% of cases (4, 5). However, the high cost of these agents and their side effects, such as extrapyramidal disorders, hypotension, headache, constipation, fatigue, dry mouth, dizziness, diarrhea, and irritability, have limited the use of these drugs (6). The limitations of pharmacological treatment have led to an increase in the use of complementary medications or alternative methods to manage nausea and vomiting. The nonpharmacological medical cares that are mostly used to control nausea and vomiting in people with cancer undergoing chemotherapy are diverse and this article aims to systematically non-pharmacological review the and complementary methods in preventing nausea and vomiting caused by chemotherapy.

Method

This systematic review study was structured based on PRISMA guidelines and conducted from November to December 2022. We searched several databases including MEDLINE, Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials, EMBASE, Scopus, Web of Science, and SID to identify relevant trials for this systematic review with no time restriction. Keywords of "complementary medicine", "traditional medicine", "herbal", "nonmedicinal" were mixed with keywords of "breast cancer", "chemotherapy", "nausea", "vomiting" with AND function along with (AND) "clinical trial" and "randomized" in both Persian and English languages. The Google scholar search engine was also used along with the SID database for Persian keywords.

Eligibility

We included all studies of patients older than 18 years who were diagnosed with breast cancer and were receiving active chemotherapy. Studies with complementary medicine interventions in the form of clinical trials were included in the study. Pre-test and post-test studies were excluded. Studies on dietary supplements or lifestyle education were not included in this study.

Eligibility of each study was assessed independently by two authors using a standardized form. If there was a disagreement between the authors, the third author, who is a senior researcher was consulted. To be included in this review article, the study had to be a fully randomized trial. The study could have had a parallel control study design or a crossover. We excluded studies that included patients receiving palliative care.

Data extraction

Two authors independently performed data extraction. Information including study characteristics: study design, country where the trial was conducted, inclusion and exclusion criteria for each trial, number of samples, age, clinical characteristics, including cancer stage, intervention details including types of interventions, frequency, duration, number and details of the control group were recorded.

The main outcomes including objective data, time interval between the end of intervention

http://intjmi.com

and outcome measurement, and reported side effects were recorded for qualitative inference. To check the quality of the studies and the chance of bias, Cochran's checklist was used, which includes checking the random sequence generation method, allocation concealment method, degree of blinding, any sample loss after randomization, loss of patients in followup, and the selected report of results.

Results

After the initial search, 1001 article titles were obtained. After removing 149 duplicate items, among the remaining 852 titles, 451 items were removed due to lack of relevance. Among the remaining 401 titles, abstracts were read and 244 cases were excluded due to lack of relevance or observational study design. Among the remaining 157 studies whose full text was read, 12 cases were due to nutritional intervention, 57 cases were due to intervention before and after the study, and 73 cases were due to the use of new medicinal compounds as intervention. The main ones were excluded from the study, and finally, 15 studies were selected (Figure 1).

Figure 1. Studies' selection process based on the PRISMA flowchart

Finally, 5 studies investigated methods based on herbal medicine. Acupressure in different forms was investigated in 6 cases. Three studies with psychophysical exercises and yoga were examined in the present review. A total of 952 participants were analyzed in all the studies reviewed in this review. The basic characteristics of the included studies are given in Table 1.

In the study of Thamlikitkul et al. (7), ginger was used as an anti-nausea drug, which was not more effective than the standard treatment with ondansetron and dexamethasone. More studies have been done on this herb. In a well-designed clinical trial, Ebrahimi et al. showed that ginger has a good effect in reducing nausea/vomiting caused by chemotherapy in breast cancer (8); But another similar study, which was controlled, three-group and three-blinded, showed that this effect of ginger was not on the severity of nausea and it only reduced the frequency of nausea and vomiting (9). Another study prescribed this herb for aromatherapy. In 5 days of using ginger essential oil aromatherapy after chemotherapy, the VAS score of nausea after inhalation of ginger essential oil in the acute phase was significantly lower than the placebo group (P =0.040), but it was not stable for the overall treatment effect (10). Peppermint essential oil aromatherapy has also been used in this case. In a clinical trial with a relatively high probability of bias conducted by Iqbali et al., the parameters of nausea in the intervention group were lower than in the control group, but there was no significant difference in terms of vomiting (11). Another study measured the effect of traditional Iranian herbal supplements on this issue. This combination, which contained Sicilian sumac and black cumin, had a good effect in reducing nausea and vomiting caused by chemotherapy (12).

Pressure-based methods are also suggested in complementary medicine; Based on the available evidence, compared to the standard nausea/vomiting, treatment of auricular acupressure has been more effective in reducing the frequency of vomiting and the severity of nausea, and there are no specific side effects; But the quality of this evidence is low (13). But another study that used pressure wristbands to control nausea/vomiting in undergoing chemotherapy patients with doxorubicin showed that this method does not work (14). In other studies, this method has been named P6-specific acupressure, which in the study of Molassiotis et al. (15) had better results than the control group, showing statistical significance. In Shen et al.'s study (16), assisted electroacupuncture was more controlling effective in vomiting than acupuncture or antiemetic drug therapy alone, although the observed effect was of limited duration. Shen et al.'s study had high quality in design and sample size.

Massage therapy is also a similar method that was discussed in the study of Vanaki et al. (17) and its high efficiency was seen, but the mentioned study has many limitations and a high chance of bias. In the study of Billhult et al. (18), massage therapy, along with standard treatment, caused a significant reduction in nausea in patients, but the sample size of patients in this study was very limited.

Raghavendra et al. (19) showed that a yoga program can prevent nausea and vomiting in patients undergoing chemotherapy. But the patients under their study were also undergoing radiotherapy and surgery, which raises the heterogeneity between studies for quantitative and qualitative conclusions. But another highquality study showed that the yoga program is not useful in managing nausea and vomiting symptoms caused by chemotherapy in women with breast cancer (20). Molassiotis et al. (21), addressed the intervention of progressive muscle relaxation training. According to their study, progressive muscle relaxation is a useful adjunctive technique to supplement antiemetics chemotherapy-induced for nausea and vomiting. But other studies that have used similar methods were not clinical trials.

Qualitative analysis

It seems that there is not enough evidence to use different types of oral or inhaled medicinal forms of ginger or mint to reduce nausea and vomiting in breast cancer patients undergoing chemotherapy. More recent options investigated in the background of traditional medicine research, such as sumac and black cumin, can be used as the subject of future research in this regard. In the case of acupressure and massage, there is better evidence for reducing nausea and vomiting, but the variety of available methods limits decision-making for evidence synthesis. The

number of articles about each of the ear acupressure methods, acupressure with the help of wristbands, massage, yoga, and psychophysical methods is not enough to check the superiority of each of these methods.

Risk of bias

Most of the studies included in this review had little bias, but the studies that were published in Persian had a high chance of bias. The risk of bias for these studies is shown in Table 2.

Discussion

In reviewing the literature of research on the pharmaceutical methods of controlling nausea/vomiting caused by chemotherapy, a study reviewed more than 30 clinical trial studies, combining drug treatments of the drug groups of NK1 and 5-HT receptor inhibitors. These are considered the main basis of the therapeutic approach to control nausea and vomiting caused by chemotherapy (22), which based on the aggregated analyzes of studies, among these drugs, aprepitant + granisetron regimens, fosnetupitant + palonosterone have been the best in completely controlling nausea vomiting. However, the dominant and calculations of meta-analysis studies are based on different types of malignancies, and there is no study on breast cancer alone. In the present study, this goal was also addressed, but the focus was on non-pharmacological methods. Only one review study in Persian language has had this goal, which has included 5 studies (23), and in this study, a larger number of studies were reviewed. Our study showed that there are different methods that can be used as a supplement to standard treatments to prevent nausea and vomiting in breast cancer patients. According to the guidelines of the American Society of Clinical Oncology to prevent nausea/vomiting caused by chemotherapy in various types of malignancies, cisplatin, anthracycline, cyclophosphamide and dacarbazine have been classified as highly nauseating drugs. A four-drug regimen that is a combination of an NK1 receptor antagonist, a (5-HT3) receptor antagonist, serotonin dexamethasone, and olanzapine has been proposed to prevent nausea in this class of chemotherapy drugs (24). In real-world studies specific to breast cancer, applying the guidelines of the American Society of Clinical Oncology was associated with reducing the rate of nausea/vomiting by half (25). Although the treatment regimens for the prevention and treatment of nausea and vomiting play an important role in the occurrence of nausea after chemotherapy, but some factors such as the experience of pain and insomnia, the history of nausea and vomiting previous in chemotherapy, chemotherapy with Highly emetogenic drugs (26), age less than 40 years, and not eating before treatment (2) increase the chance of this complication. These variations in nausea severity differ in sections of chemotherapy or in different chemomedications. For example, patients treated with cyclophosphamide experience more prolonged nausea (27). Very diverse patterns of nausea experience in women undergoing chemotherapy show that an individual-based therapeutic approach is needed for a better response to anti-nausea treatment (28). These were all things that we were not able to address in our study because the heterogeneity between studies in the field of these factors was extremely high.

Limitations of the study

Although we tried to conduct a comprehensive search, it is possible that some studies were not found in our search. Also, search engines for Persian articles do not provide proper performance for accurate search of sources, which increases the chance of not finding published studies. There is a possibility that some studies may not be published for various reasons. Despite extensive research on this issue, it seems that measuring the complication of nausea and vomiting based on the patient's report or the therapist's interview faces challenges (1).

Conclusion

According to the present review, there are complementary various and nonpharmacological methods to prevent nausea and vomiting. But in the meantime. acupressure seems to prevent the occurrence of severe nausea and vomiting in patients undergoing chemotherapy due to breast cancer using various methods that have been used in research. Therefore, it is suggested that future research be done to standardize the methodology of acupressure interventions.

References

- 1.Naito Y, Kai Y, Ishikawa T, Fujita T, Uehara K, Doihara H, et al. Chemotherapyinduced nausea and vomiting in patients with breast cancer: a prospective cohort study. Breast Cancer. 2020;27(1):122-8.
- 2.Booth CM, Clemons M, Dranitsaris G, Joy A, Young S, Callaghan W, et al. Chemotherapy-induced nausea and vomiting in breast cancer patients: a prospective observational study. The journal of supportive oncology. 2007;5(8):374-80.
- 3.Eliasen A, Dalhoff K, Mathiasen R, Schmiegelow K, Rechnitzer C, Schelde AB, et al. Pharmacogenetics of antiemetics for chemotherapy-induced nausea and vomiting: a systematic review and metaanalysis. Critical Reviews in Oncology/Hematology. 2020;149:102939.
- 4.Grunberg SM, Deuson RR, Mavros P, Geling O, Hansen M, Cruciani G, et al. Incidence of chemotherapy-induced nausea and emesis after modern antiemetics: Perception versus reality. Cancer: Interdisciplinary International Journal of the American Cancer Society. 2004;100(10):2261-668.

- 5.Ng TL, Hutton B, Clemons M. Chemotherapy-induced nausea and vomiting: time for more emphasis on nausea? : Oxford University Press; 2015. p. 576-83.
- 6.Jones JM, Qin R, Bardia A, Linquist B, Wolf S, Loprinzi CL. Antiemetics for chemotherapy-induced nausea and vomiting occurring despite prophylactic antiemetic therapy. Journal of palliative medicine. 2011;14(7):810-4.
- 7.Thamlikitkul L, Srimuninnimit V. Akewanlop C, Ithimakin S. Techawathanawanna S, Korphaisarn K. Chantharasamee J. Danchaivijitr P. Soparattanapaisarn N. Efficacy of ginger for chemotherapy-induced prophylaxis of nausea and vomiting in breast cancer receiving adriamycinpatients cyclophosphamide regimen: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, crossover study. Supportive Care in Cancer. 2017 Feb;25(2):459-64.
- 8.Ebrahimi S M, Parsa-Yekta Z, Nikbakht-Nasrabadi A, Hosseini S M, Sedighi S, Salehi-Surmaghi M. Ginger effects on control of chemotherapy induced nausea and vomiting. Tehran Univ Med J 2013; 71 (6) :395-403.
- 9.Najafi S. Ginger effects on control of chemotherapy induced nausea and vomiting. ijbd 2014; 7 (1) :7-14.
- 10.Lua PL, Salihah N, Mazlan N. Effects of inhaled ginger aromatherapy on chemotherapy-induced nausea and vomiting and health-related quality of life in women with breast cancer. Complementary therapies in medicine. 2015;23(3):396-404.
- 11.Eghbali M, Varaei S, Hosseini M, Yekaninejad MS, Shahi F. The effect of aromatherapy with peppermint essential oil on nausea and vomiting in the acute phase of chemotherapy in patients with breast cancer. Journal of Babol University of Medical Sciences. 2018;20(9):66-71.

- 12.Nazari M, Taghizadeh A, Bazzaz MM, Rakhshandeh H, Shokri S. Effect of persian medicine remedy on chemotherapy induced nausea and vomiting in breast cancer: a double blind, randomized, crossover clinical trial. Electronic physician. 2017;9(1):3535.
- 13.Eghbali M, Yekaninejad MS, Jalalinia SF, Samimi MA, Sa'atchi K. The effect of auricular acupressure on nausea and vomiting caused by chemotherapy among breast cancer patients. Complementary therapies in clinical practice. 2016 Aug 1;24:189-94.
- 14.Roscoe JA, Matteson SE, Morrow GR, Hickok JT, Bushunow P, Griggs J, Qazi R, Smith B, Kramer Z, Smith J. Acustimulation wrist bands are not effective for the control of chemotherapy-induced nausea in women with breast cancer. Journal of pain and symptom management. 2005 Apr 1;29(4):376-84.
- 15.Molassiotis A, Helin A, Dabbour R, Hummerston S. The effects of P6 acupressure in the prophylaxis of chemotherapy-related nausea and vomiting in breast cancer patients. Complementary therapies in medicine. 2007;15(1):3-12.
- 16.Shen J, Wenger N, Glaspy J, Hays RD, Albert PS, Choi C, et al. Electroacupuncture for control of myeloablative chemotherapy– induced emesis: a randomized controlled trial. Jama. 2000;284(21):2755-61.
- 17.Vanaki Z, Matourypour P, Gholami R, Zare Z, Mehrzad V, Dehghan M. Therapeutic touch for nausea in breast cancer patients receiving chemotherapy: Composing a treatment. Complementary therapies in clinical practice. 2016 Feb 1;22:64-8.
- 18.Billhult A, Bergbom I, Stener-Victorin E. Massage relieves nausea in women with breast cancer who are undergoing chemotherapy. The journal of alternative and complementary medicine. 2007;13(1):53-8.

- 19.Raghavendra R, Nagarathna R, Nagendra H, Gopinath K, Srinath B, Ravi B, et al. Effects of an integrated yoga programme on chemotherapy-induced nausea and emesis in breast cancer patients. European journal of cancer care. 2007;16(6):462-74.
- 20.Anestin AS, Dupuis G, Lanctôt D, Bali M. The effects of the bali yoga program for breast cancer patients on chemotherapyinduced nausea and vomiting: Results of a partially randomized and blinded controlled trial. Journal of evidence-based complementary & alternative medicine. 2017;22(4):721-30.
- 21.Molassiotis A, Yung HP, Yam BM, Chan FY, Mok T. The effectiveness of progressive muscle relaxation training in managing chemotherapy-induced nausea and vomiting in Chinese breast cancer patients: a randomised controlled trial. Supportive Care in Cancer. 2002;10(3):237-46.
- 22.Piechotta V, Adams A, Haque M, Scheckel B, Kreuzberger N, Monsef I, Jordan K, Kuhr K, Skoetz N. Antiemetics for adults for prevention of nausea and vomiting caused by moderately or highly emetogenic chemotherapy: a network metaanalysis. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews 2021, Issue 11. Art. No.: CD012775. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD012775.pub2.
 - Accessed 14 October 2022.
- 23.Matory P, Gholamy R, Dehghan M, Vanaki Z, shirazi M, Binaee N, et al .

Efficacy of complementary therapies in reduction of chemotherapy induced nausea and vomiting in breast cancer patients: Systematic review. cmja 2014; 4 (2) :831-844.

- 24.Hesketh PJ, Kris MG, Basch E, Bohlke K, Barbour SY, Clark-Snow RA, et al. Antiemetics: ASCO guideline update. Journal of Clinical Oncology. 2020;38(24):2782-97.
- 25.Copher R, Knoth RL, Magee G, Misir S, McBride A. Chemotherapy induced nausea and vomiting in breast cancer treated with antiemetic prophylaxis as recommended by the ASCO antiemesis guidelines. American Society of Clinical Oncology; 2017.
- 26.Huang X, Li X, Li J, Luo L, Chen H, Tan Y, et al. Chemotherapy-Induced Nausea and Vomiting in Breast Cancer Patients: A Multicenter Prospective Observational Study. Asia-Pacific Journal of Oncology Nursing. 2021;8(4):433-7.
- 27.Dibble SL. Delayed chemotherapyinduced nausea in women treated for breast cancer. Number 2/2003. 1969;30(2):E40-E7.
- 28.Oliva D, Sandgren A, Nilsson M, Lewin F. Variations in self-reported nausea, vomiting, and well-being during the first 10 days postchemotherapy in women with breast cancer. Clinical Journal of Oncology Nursing. 2014;18(2):E32-E6.

Tables

Table 1: Studies included in the systematic review

Characteris	Mean	intervention	n	Control	n	Chemotherap	Breast	Nausea	Study
tics of the	age					y regimen		measure	design
study							grade	ment method	
Thamli kitkul	49	Ginger capsule500 mg twice + normal andanstero) ne + dexamethas (one	34	Placebo	-	Adriamycin + cyclophosph amide	More II		clinical trialstudy - crossover
Eghbali	46.02 ±	Ear	24	Standard	24	cisplatin and	mild	Morrow	clinical
	7.23	acupressure		treatment		anthracycline	to severe	standard question naire (1984)	trialstudy - crossover
Roscoe	49.5	Compressio n wristband	32	Control without wristband and sham group wristband) in an inappropri ate place outside (the wrist	64	doxorubicin	not menti oned	Likert scale	Clinical trial
Vanaki	49.7	therapeutic touch	36	Placebo and control	72	not mentioned	not menti oned	massage therapy touch) (therapy	Clinical trial
Billhult	51.8	massage	19	Control	20	epirubucin , fluorouracil, cyclophosph amide	I(5); II A (21); II B (12); III (1)	VAS	Clinical trial
Raghavend ra	not mentio ned	yoga	28) Control supportive counseling and coping preparatio n (34	5- fluorouracil, adriamycin, cyclophosph amide, methotrexate ,	II and III	MANE	Clinical trial
Molassiotis a	49.5	Compressio n wristband	17	Control	19	Doxorubicin + Cyclophosph amide/ FEC/ Epirubicin + CMF	I-III	INVR	Clinical trial

http://intjmi.com

Shon	46	Flectric	37	Acupunct	Low	Dovorubicin	not	Number	Clinical
Shen	40		57	ura with	LOW	DONOTUDICIII	monti	of	trial
		acupiessure			inte	Cyclophosph	oned	vomitin	ulai
				intensity	nsit	amide or	oncu	a	
				and	W	equivalent		during	
				control	у Эсш	Epirubicin		dave o	
				group	nun	Lphuolein		after	
				group	ctur			chemoth	
								erapy	
					(77)			crapy	
					(\cdot, \cdot)				
					,				
					rol				
					(5)				
Moleccietic	45.02	Drogragina	20	Control	(12)	Adriamuain	TIT	MANE	Clinical
wioiassious	45.05	riogressive	30	Control	55	Aurianiyeni	1-111, most1	MANE	trial
D		muscle				+			uriai
		relaxation				cyclopnosph	y 111 11		
N	47 4	D1. 1	25	C	24	annue	TI and	VAC	.1
Nazari	47.4	Black	33	Crossover	34	not	II and	VAS	
		cumin and		group		mentioned	111) trial
		sumac and		starting					crossover
		then placebo		with					study (
				placebo					
-	15.0	~:	20	treatment	20	5 51 11		TT L G	<u></u>
Lua	47.3	Ginger	30	Placebo	30	5-Flouracil +	I-IV	VAS	Clinical
		aromatherap		control		epirubicin +			trıal
		У		with		cyclophosph			
				aromatic		amide			
				oil		(FEC)/			
						Docetaxel +			
						doxorubicin			
						and			
						cyclophosph			
						amide			
						(TAC)/			
						Docetaxel			
Anestin	50.4	yoga	52	Control	30	AC, CMF/	I (26);	MANE	Clinical
						FEC, AC-T,	II		trial
						TAC	(53);		
							III (3)		
Ebrahimi	41.8	Ginger	40	Control	40	not	not	VAS	Clinical
	against	capsule one				mentioned	menti		trial
	40.1	gram/day					oned		
Najafi	40.33	Ginger	10	Control	20	not	not	VAS	Clinical
- J.		capsule one	-			mentioned	menti		trial
		gram/dav					oned		-
Eghbali	47.8	Peppermint	50	Control	50	not	not	Rhodes	Clinical
0	against	essential oil				mentioned	menti	question	trial
	45.7	aromatheran					oned	naire	
	- • •	y							

Morrow Assessment of Nausea and Emesis, MAN; Rhodes Index of nausea, vomiting and retching, INVR

study	Random	Allocation	Degree of	Sample	Non-	Chance of bias
	sequence		blinding	drop	selective	
	generation	concealme			reporting	
		nt method			of results	
Thamlikitkul	*	**	**	**	**	Low
Eghbali	*	*	*	*	**	Тор
Roscoe	*	*	*	*	**	Тор
Vanaki	**	**	*	*	**	Low
Billhult	**	-	-	**	**	Some sources of bias
Raghavendra	**	**	-	**	**	Low
Molassiotis a	**	**	-	**	**	Low
Shen	**	**	**	**	**	Low
Molassiotis b	**	*	-	**	**	Some sources of bias
Nazari	**	**	**	**	**	Low
Lua	**	**	**	**	**	Low
Anestin	**	**	**	**	**	Low
Ebrahimi	*	*	*	*	**	High
Najafi	*	*	*	*	*	High
Eghbali	*	*	*	*	**	High

Table 2. Risk of bias	of clinical tria	l studies based of	n Cochran's	s checklist
-----------------------	------------------	--------------------	-------------	-------------

*Reported (Some sources of bias). ** Reported in full (low chance of bias). - Not reported (high chance of bias).